

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,475	03/01/2004	Barry H. Ginsberg	46058	9023
	609 7590 03/08/2007 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			INER
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			MALLARI, PATRICIA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3735				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,475	GINSBERG, BARRY H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia C. Mallari	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DIVIS SET TO EVDIDE 4 M	ONTU(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ra- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	1 March 2004.		
·	his action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under 		•	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the applicat		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·	·	
8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
,— ,,	,		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		handle Farmer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · ·	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		· ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Bur	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 10-19, 28-40 drawn to a method for determining factors for insulin therapy, classified in class 600, subclass 365.
- II. Claims 5-9, 20-27, 41-62 drawn to an apparatus for determining factors for insulin therapy, classified in class 600, subclass 300.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced at least in part by hand.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Alan Fiedler on 3/2/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,475

Art Unit: 3735

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia C. Mallari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,475

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

pcm?

CHARLES A. MARMOR II SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Page 4